Sidlaghatta taluk, Rama Rao, Malur taluk, Krishnamurthya Char, Mulbagal taluk, Sura Sastri, Chikballapur taluk, and Mallesiah, Kolar municipality.

(2) Mr. Seenappa, Hoskote taluk, and

3) Messrs. Narayanasetti and Srikantayya, Maddagiri taluk, Ramanujaiengar and Hutchaveere Gowda, Gubbi taluk.

passed for the remission of wet assessment, whenever the tanks do not fill. In certain parts of the Bangalore and the Kolar districts, the rains have failed during the last 3 years and this year the rains being late the people are not likely to get good crops and they will be put to considerable difficulties in paying the assessment.

(*b*) Rules should be framed for the grant of *Tari Banjar* remission during distress.

(2) It is prayed that half the wet assessment may be remitted in the case of wet lands left uncultivated for want of water.

(3) It is prayed that dry assessment may be levied on wet lands during the years in which tanks do not receive adequate supply of water.

Reply of Government.—Noted.—The assessment which is now charged has been fixed after taking into consideration both good and bad years, and remissions cannot be given unless there is wide-spread distress.

SUBJECT No. 76.

Grant of wet lands at dry rates under the tanks in disrepair.

Mr. Hampiah, Sidlaghatta municipality.

Summary of discussion.—Wet lands under tanks in disrepair may be granted for cultivation temporarily at dry rates for dry cultivation for five years at least.

Reply of Government.—A report will be called for from the Deputy Commissioner as to whether arrangements may not be made to give these lands for *eksal* cultivation on dry assessment.

SUBJECT No. 77.

Levy of assessment.

Mr. Herur Gundappa, Kunigal taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The wet rate should be levied only on so much of the *atchkat* as is irrigable by the water in the tank and the dry assessment should be levied on the rest.

Reply of Government.—The best plan is to repair the tank. If any lands become permanently unfit for wet cultivation, the matter may be brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner for taking necessary action. The lands will also be reclassified at the resurvey.

SUBJECT No. 78.

Removal of lantana.

Mr. Hanumanthayya, Tarikere municipality.

Summary of discussion.—Arrangements may be made to remove lantana from private holdings as it is a source of nuisance to neighbouring land-holders.

The mover and *Mr. C. Srinivasa Rao* suggested that there should be legislation to prevent the planting of lantana hedges. Unless lantana is thoroughly rooted out, it sprouts again more luxuriantly next year.

Mr. D. Venkataramaiya said that the work should be attended to by the village committees.

Another member observed that lantana on Government lands should first be removed.

Note.—The sense of the meeting was against legislation.

Reply of Government.—Noted.